Feature Based Image Metamorphosis, Beier and Neely 1992

Image Compositing and Morphing

Connelly Barnes

CS 4810: Graphics

Acknowledgement: slides by Jason Lawrence, Misha Kazhdan, Allison Klein, Tom Funkhouser, Adam Finkelstein and David Dobkin

Outline

- Image Compositing

 oBlue-screen mattes
 oAlpha channel
 oPorter-Duff compositing algebra
- Image Morphing

Image Compositing

- Separate an image into "elements"
 oRender independently
 oComposite together
- Applications

 OCel animation
 OChroma-keying
 OBlue-screen matting



Bill makes ends meet by going into film

Blue-Screen Matting

Composite foreground and background images
 oCreate background image
 oCreate foreground image with blue background
 oInsert non-blue foreground pixels into background



Blue-Screen Matting

Composite foreground and background images
 oCreate background image
 oCreate foreground image with blue background
 oInsert non-blue foregrou



Alpha Channel

- Encodes pixel coverage information
 - **o** α = 0: no coverage (or transparent)
 - **o** α = 1: full coverage (or opaque)

o $0 < \alpha < 1$: partial coverage (or semi-transparent)

• Single Pixel Example: $\alpha = 0.3$



Compositing with Alpha

Controls the linear interpolation of foreground and background pixels when elements are composited.



- Alpha channel convention, alpha premultiplied:

 o(r, g, b, α) represents a pixel that has opacity α and color C = (r/α, g/α, b/α)
 »Color components are pre-multiplied by α »Simplifies compositing math
- Alpha channel convention, alpha not premultiplied:

 o(r, g, b, α) represents a pixel that has opacity α and color C = (r, g, b)
 »Color C can be displayed directly

What is the meaning of the following? (pre-multiplied)
o(0, 1, 0, 1) = Full green, full coverage
o(0, 1/2, 0, 1) = ?
o(0, 1/2, 0, 1/2) = ?
o(0, 1/2, 0, 0) = ?

What is the meaning of the following? (pre-multiplied)
o(0, 1, 0, 1) = Full green, full coverage
o(0, 1/2, 0, 1) = Half green, full coverage
o(0, 1/2, 0, 1/2) = ?
o(0, 1/2, 0, 0) = ?

What is the meaning of the following? (pre-multiplied) o(0, 1, 0, 1) = Full green, full coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 1) = Half green, full coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 1/2) = Full green, half coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 0) = ?

What is the meaning of the following? (pre-multiplied) o(0, 1, 0, 1) = Full green, full coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 1) = Half green, full coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 1/2) = Full green, half coverage o(0, 1/2, 0, 0) = Undefined

Semi-Transparent Objects

Suppose we put A over B over background G

В

G

oHow much of B is blocked by A?

α_A

oHow much of B shows through A

 $(1-\alpha_A)$

oHow much of G shows through both A and B? $(1\text{-}\alpha_{\text{A}})(1\text{-}\alpha_{\text{B}})$

Opaque Objects

How do we combine 2 partially covered pixels?
o4 regions (0, A, B, AB)
o3 possible colors (0, A, B)



Composition Algebra

12 possible combinations



Porter & Duff `84

Example: C = A Over B

- For colors that are not premultiplied:
 - $-\mathbf{C} = \left[\alpha_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{A} + (1\text{-}\alpha_{\mathsf{A}}) \alpha_{\mathsf{B}} \mathsf{B}\right] / \left[\alpha_{\mathsf{A}} + (1\text{-}\alpha_{\mathsf{A}}) \alpha_{\mathsf{B}}\right]$
 - $-\alpha = \alpha_{A} + (1 \alpha_{A}) \alpha_{B}$
- For colors that <u>are</u> premultiplied:

$$-C' = A' + (1 - \alpha_A) B'$$

$$-\alpha = \alpha_{A} + (1 - \alpha_{A}) \alpha_{B}$$



Image Composition "Goofs"

- Visible hard edges
- Incompatible lighting/shadows
- Incompatible camera focal lengths



Overview

- Image Compositing
- Image morphing

 oSpecifying correspondences
 oWarping
 oBlending

Image Morphing

Animate transition between two images



H&B Figure 16.9

Image Morphing

Animate transition between two images



H&B Figure 16.9

Cross-Dissolving

Blend images with "over" operator
oalpha of bottom image is 1.0
oalpha of top image varies from 0.0 to 1.0

 $blend(i,j) = (1-t) \operatorname{src}(i,j) + t \operatorname{dst}(i,j) \quad (0 \le t \le 1)$



Image Warping

Deform the source so that it looks like the target



Image Warping

Deform the source so that it looks like the target



Image Morphing

Combines cross-dissolving and warping



Image Morphing

The warping step is the hard one
 oAim to align features in images







How do we specify the mapping for the warp?

into an engine block. (Courtesy of Silicon Graphics, Inc.)

H&B Figure 16.9

Image Correspondence



Beier & Neeley use pairs of lines to specify warp
 oGiven p in dst image, where is p' in source image?



How do I calculate u and v?

1. Recall the dot product

2.
$$V_1 \cdot V_2 = x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2$$

3. $V_1 \cdot V_2 = ||V_1|| ||V_2|| \cos(\Theta)$



How do I calculate u and v?

$$u = \frac{(p-s) \cdot (t-s)}{\|t-s\|^2}$$

Equation 1 from B&N paper



Remember: u is a fraction



How do I calculate u and v?

$$v = \frac{(p-s) \cdot Perp(t-s)}{\|t-s\|}$$

Equation 2 from B&N paper







Beier & Neeley use pairs of lines to specify warp
 oGiven p in dst image, where is p' in source image?



• What happens to the "F"?





• What happens to the "F"?





• What happens to the "F"?





• What happens to the "F"?



What types of transformations can't be specified?

• Can't specify skews, mirrors, angular changes...



Warping with Multiple Line Pairs

 Use weighted combination of points defined by each pair of corresponding lines



Beier & Neeley, Figure 4

Warping with Multiple Line Pairs

 Use weighted combination of points defined by each pair of corresponding lines



Weighting Effect of Each Line Pair

 To weight the contribution of each line pair, Beier & Neeley use:

$$weight[i] = \left(\frac{length[i]^{p}}{a + dist[i]}^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Where:

- *length[i]* is the length of L[i]
- dist[i] is the distance from p to L[i]
- a, b, p are constants that control the warp

How do I calculate dist? Dist is either...

- abs(v) if u is >= 0 and <= 1
 OR
- distance to the closest endpoint i.e. Min(||p-s||, ||p-t||)



Warping Pseudocode

```
WarpImage(Image, L'[...], L[...])
begin
   for each destination pixel p do
       psum = (0,0)
       wsum = 0
       for each line L[i] in destination do
          p'[i] = p transformed by (L[i],L'[i])
          psum = psum + p'[i] * weight[i]
          wsum += weight[i]
       end
       p' = psum / wsum
       Result(p) = Image(p')
   end
end
```

Warping Pseudocode

```
WarpImage(Image, L'[...], L[...])
begin
   for each destination pixel p do
      psum = (0,0)
      wsum = 0
    This warps the image so
                                      [i])
    that the lines L' go to L
         wsum += weight[i]
      end
      p' = psum / wsum
      Result(p) = Image(p')
   end
end
```

Morphing Pseudocode

GenerateAnimation(Image₀, $L_0[...]$, Image₁, $L_1[...]$) begin for each intermediate frame time t do for i = 1 to number of line pairs do $L[i] = line t-th of the way from L_0 [i] to L_1 [i]$ end $Warp_0 = WarpImage(Image_0, L_0, L)$ $Warp_1 = WarpImage(Image_1, L_1, L)$ for each pixel p in FinalImage do Result(p) = (1-t) Warp₀ + t Warp₁

end end

Beier & Neeley Example

Image₀

Result





Figure 7 shows the lines drawn over the a face, figure 9 shows the lines drawn over a second face. Figure 8 shows the morphed image, with the interpolated lines drawn over it.

Figure 10 shows the first face with the lines and a grid, showing how it is distorted to the position of the lines in the intermediate frame. Figure 11 shows the second face distorted to the same intermediate position. The lines in the top and bottom picture are in the same position. We have distorted the two images to the same "shape".

Note that outside the outline of the faces, the grids are warped very differently in the two images, but because this is the background, it is not important. If these were background features that needed to be matched, lines could have been drawn over them as well.

Image₁



Warp₁

Warp₀

Beier & Neeley Example

Image₀





Figure 12



Figure 15





Warp₀

Figure 12 is the first face distorted to the intermediate position, without the grid or lines. Figure 13 is the second face distorted toward that same position. Note that the blend between the two distorted images is much more life-like than the either of the distorted images themselves. We have noticed this happens very frequently.

Figure 14

The final sequence is figures 14, 15, and 16.

Warp₁

Image Processing

- Quantization

 OUniform Quantization
 ORandom dither
 Ordered dither
 OFloyd-Steinberg dither
- Pixel operations

 oAdd random noise
 oAdd luminance
 oAdd contrast
 oAdd saturation

- Filtering

 o Blur
 o Detect edges
- Warping

 o Scale
 o Rotate
 o Warp
- Combining
 o Composite
 o Morph

Summary: Image Processing

Image representation

oA pixel is a sample, not a little square
oImages have limited resolution
oImage processing is a resampling problem

Halftoning and dithering

 OReduce visual artifacts due to quantization
 ODistribute errors among pixels
 OExploit spatial integration in our eye

Summary: Image Processing

Sampling and reconstruction

 oReduce visual artifacts due to aliasing
 oFilter to avoid undersampling
 oBlurring is better than aliasing







